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#### Abstract

A detailed doublet potential energy surface for the reaction of CH with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ is investigated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and G3B3 (single-point) levels. Various possible reaction pathways are probed. It is shown that the reaction is initiated by the addition of CH to the terminal C atom of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$, forming $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCHCH} 1$ (1a,1b). Starting from $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b})$, the most feasible pathway is the ring closure of $\mathbf{1 a}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCHCH} 2$ followed by dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathbf{c C C C H}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, or a $2,3 \mathrm{H}$ shift in $\mathbf{1 a}$ to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH} 3$ followed by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, or a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$-shift in $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b})$ to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2} \mathbf{4}$ followed by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond fission to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. Much less competitively, $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b})$ can undergo $3,4 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCH} 5$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{5}$ can undergo either $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage to form $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage to generate $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. Our calculated results may represent the first mechanistic study of the $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction, and may thus lead to a deeper understanding of the title reaction.
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## Introduction

The methylidyne radical $(\mathrm{CH})$ is a very reactive species as the C atom contains one singly occupied orbital and one vacant nonbonding orbital. It plays very important roles in combustion, atmospheric, and interstellar chemistry [1-6]. Up to now, a large number of experimental and theoretical studies have been carried out on the spectroscopic properties of CH [7-12] and its reactions [13-26] such as those with $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$, and so forth.

Among the numerous studies that have been made of the CH radical, its reaction with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ is the one that has most attracted the authors' interest. The reaction mechanism is still unclear, although it has been experimentally studied by several groups. In 2005, Daugey et al. [21] investigated the reaction using a supersonic flow reactor coupled with the pulsed laser photolysis (PLP) and laser-induced fluorescence (LTF) techniques. The total rate constant was reported for the first time in that study. The measured rate constant over the temperature range $15-295 \mathrm{~K}$ was $k=(4.03 \sim 4.56) \times$ $10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ molecule ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Daugey et al. also proposed that the reaction is initiated by the attachment of the CH radical to the terminal carbon atom of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$, forming a chainlike intermediate. The intermediate can then undergo various evolution pathways, leading to the final products. They also suggested that 1,2,3-butatriene and hydrogen were the main products. In 2008, Loison et al. [25] studied the same reaction in a low-pressure fast-flow reactor at room temperature and proposed similar mechanisms. The rate constant obtained by Loison et al. was $k(300 \mathrm{~K})=$ $(3.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ molecule $^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In the same year, Goulay et al. [26] investigated the reaction using tunable vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photoionization and time-resolved
mass spectrometry. In that report, three channels leading to the cyclic isomer $+\mathrm{H}(30 \%)$, vinylacetylene $+\mathrm{H}(37 \%)$, and 1,2,3-butatriene $+\mathrm{H}(33 \%)$ were proposed. Obviously, Goulay et al.'s results differ significantly from those obtained by Daugey et al. [21] and Loison et al. [25].

In view of the potential importance and significant discrepancies between the results of those studies, a detailed potential energy surface (PES) study of the title reaction is very desirable. Unfortunately, no such theoretical study has been reported, to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, we performed a detailed theoret-
ical study on the reaction of CH with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ to explore the reaction mechanism, and the results of that study are reported here.

## Computational methods

All the calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 03 software package [27]. The optimized structures and frequencies of all species, including reactant, products, isomers, and transition states, were obtained at the B3LYP/


Fig. 1 The optimized structures of the reactant and products at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees

6-311G(d,p) level. Single-point energy calculations were performed at the G3B3 level using the B3LYP/6-311G(d, p)-optimized geometries and were scaled by the B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ zero-point energies. To confirm that the transition states were associated with the designated isomers, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level.

## Results and discussion

The optimized structures of the reactant and products are shown in Fig. 1, while the optimized structures of isomers and transition states are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The schematic potential energy surface (PES) of the $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction at the G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level is plotted in Fig. 4, and Fig. 5 shows the dissociation curves to the products. The energetic data for the reactant, products, isomers, and transition states are
listed in Table 1. The total energy of the reactant $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ was set to zero for reference. Unless otherwise specified, the $\mathrm{G} 3 \mathrm{~B} 3 / / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ relative energies are used throughout.

Entrance channels
The CH radical can attach to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ molecule in three ways: (i) to the terminal C atom to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCHCH} 1$ (1a, 1b) ( $-29.7,32.7$ ); (ii) the CH carbene inserts itself into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \sigma$-bond of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radical to generate $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}$ $\mathbf{8}(-94.2)$, or; (iii) H abstraction to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ $(-0.4)$. Values in parentheses are the G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) relative energies in $\mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ with reference to $\mathbf{R}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}\right)(0.0)$. Channels (ii) and (iii), with their highenergy transition states TS8/11 (18.0) and TS11/P $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (19.9), are of no practical interest. Thus, only the formation of $\mathbf{1}$ appears possible, a conclusion that is supported by orbital analysis. At the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level, the HOMO and LUMO
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Fig. 2 The optimized structures of the isomers at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees


4 Fig. 3 The optimized structures of the transition states at the B3LYP/ 6-311G(d,p) level. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees
energies of CH are -0.25590 and -0.12643 a.u., respectively, while those of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ are -0.27174 and 0.04683 a.u., respectively. The absolute energy difference between $E$ $\left(\mathrm{HOMO}_{\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CCH}}\right)$ and $E\left(\mathrm{LUMO}_{\mathrm{CH}}\right), 014531$, is smaller than the difference of 0.30273 a.u. between $E\left(\mathrm{HOMO}_{\mathrm{CH}}\right)$ and $E$ $\left(\mathrm{LUMO}_{\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CCH}}\right)$. Based on frontier orbital rules, the interaction should take place between the LUMO of CH and the HOMO of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$, resulting in the addition intermediate $\mathbf{1}$. In the following section, we will mainly discuss the evolution pathways of $\mathbf{1}$.

Isomerization and dissociation
The most important pathways from 1a are shown in a concise manner in Scheme 1.

This scheme shows that, starting from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCHCH} 1 \mathrm{a}$ (-29.7), five conversion channels can be identified: (i) direct ring closure to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCHCH} 2(-78.8)$; (ii) a 2,3 H shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH} 3$ (-107.9); (iii) a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2} 4$ (-110.8); (iv) a $3,4 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCH} 5 \mathrm{a}(-96.9)$, or; (v) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ elimination to form $\mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CHCHCCH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(-56.0)$. Channel (v) is unfeasible, since its transition state $\mathbf{T S 1 a} / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (6.5) is higher than the reactant in energy.

Starting from the isomer $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCHCH}$ 2, three kinds of pathways can be identified: (i) H elimination to form $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)(-28.2)$; (ii) an H shift along the ring to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH} 6$ ( -67.7 ), which can undergo H or $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ elimination to generate $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H})$ or $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(-32.1)$, respectively, or; (iii) isomerization to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}_{2} 7(-70.2)$ followed by H elimination to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. It should be noted that the conversion $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ in channel (i)

Fig. $4 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}$ Pathways for the $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction. Erel is the relative energy ( $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). Competitive pathways are shown in a and less competitive pathways in $\mathbf{b}$



Fig. 5a-d Dissociation curves computed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level for $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}} ; \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} ; \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$; and $\mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ (shown in $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$, respectively)
is a barrierless process, as confirmed by the pointwise potential energy curve at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level, while high-energy barriers need to be surmounted in the latter two channels. Thus, channel (i) may be virtually the only process from 2.

For the isomer $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH} 3$, three channels can be discerned : (i) a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH} 8(-94.2)$, followed by dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)(-65.1)$; (ii) a $1,4 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH} 9(-108.5)$, followed by dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)(-57.8)$, or; (iii) direct dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H C C H}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. To further confirm that channel (iii) is a barrierless process, we calculated the pointwise potential curve at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. The dissociation curve of 3 is shown in Fig. 5b. Since higher barriers need to be surmounted in channels (i) and (ii), these two channels can be neglected.

The isomer $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2} 4$ can directly dissociate to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)(-57.8)$ without the need to cross any barrier. The dissociation curve of 4 is shown in Fig. 5c.

Alternatively, 4 can undergo a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$ shift and then H elimination to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} 9(-108.5)$ and then $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. The latter process is undoubtedly much less kinetically competitive than the former.

The isomer $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H C H C H} 5$ has four isomeric forms, $\mathbf{5 a}$ $(-96.9), \mathbf{5 b}(-94.0), \mathbf{5 c}(-94.2)$, and $\mathbf{5 d}(-96.3)$, which can easily convert to each other. For simplicity, the isomerization that occurs among $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and $\mathbf{5 d}$ is not highlighted in the PES. Starting from 5, five conversion pathways can be distinguished: (i) $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage to form $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)(-65.1)$; (ii) $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond fission to form $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)(-60.6)$; (iii) a $2,3 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH} 8$ ( -94.2 ), followed by dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$; (iv) a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$-shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$ $9(-108.5)$, followed by dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and; (v) ring closure to form the four-membered ring isomer $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathbf{1 0}$ ( -105.1 ). By comparison, we find that channels (iii) and (iv) are more complicated than the former two channels. This means that channels (iii) and (iv) make only minor contributions to final fragmenta-

Table 1 Total (a.u.) and relative ( $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; in parentheses) energies of the reactants, products, isomers and transition states for the CH $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction

| Species | G3B3 |  | Species | G3B3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R ( $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ ) | -155.0181405 | (0.0) | TS1a/2 | -155.0634426 | (-28.4) |
| P $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | -155.0188213 | (-0.4) | TS1a/3 | -155.0609201 | (-26.8) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{CHCHCCH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | -155.107419 | (-56.0) | TS1a/4 | -155.0547313 | (-23.0) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.0631542 | (-28.2) | TS1a/5a | -155.0526890 | (-21.7) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({\left.\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}\right.$ | -155.0692969 | (-32.1) | TS1a/P ${ }_{2}$ | -155.0077792 | (6.5) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.1218725 | (-65.1) | TS1b/4 | -155.0516006 | (-21.0) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.1103292 | $(-57.8)$ | TS1b/5b | -155.0542108 | (-22.6) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ | -155.1138091 | (-60.0) | TS2/6 | -155.0470739 | (-18.2) |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.0663693 | (-30.3) | TS2/7 | -155.0452505 | (-17.0) |
| 1a | -155.0655185 | (-29.7) | TS3/8 | -155.1152015 | (-60.9) |
| 1b | -155.0701953 | (-32.7) | TS3/9 | -155.0790935 | (-38.2) |
| 2 | -155.1436700 | (-78.8) | TS4/9 | -155.1063828 | (-55.4) |
| 3 | -155.1900752 | (-107.9) | TS5a/5b | -155.1670148 | (-93.4) |
| 4 | -155.1946474 | (-110.8) | TS5a/5d | -155.1723985 | (-96.8) |
| 5a | -155.1725975 | (-96.9) | TS5a/P ${ }_{5}$ | -155.1119040 | (-58.8) |
| 5b | -155.1679843 | (-94.0) | TS5b/5c | -155.1616501 | (-90.1) |
| 5c | -155.1682111 | (-94.2) | TS5b/8 | -155.0983955 | (-50.4) |
| 5d | -155.1716076 | (-96.3) | TS5b/9 | -155.0961967 | (-49.0) |
| 6 | -155.1260054 | (-67.7) | TS5b/10 | -155.1228339 | (-65.7) |
| 7 | -155.1300564 | (-70.2) | TS5b/P $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ | -155.1044528 | (-54.2) |
| 8 | -155.1683320 | (-94.2) | TS5c/9 | -155.1015868 | (-52.4) |
| 9 | -155.1910534 | (-108.5) | TS5c/P ${ }_{7}$ | -155.1053905 | (-54.8) |
| 10 | -155.1856358 | (-105.1) | TS5d/P ${ }_{7}$ | -155.1053931 | (-54.8) |
| 11 | -155.0191142 | (-0.6) | TS6/P3 | -155.0483644 | (-19.0) |
| TS8/P ${ }_{5}$ | -155.1129354 | $(-59.5)$ | TS6/P4 | -155.0546771 | (-22.9) |
| TS9/P $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ | -155.1033057 | (-53.4) | TS7/P3 | -155.0529547 | (-21.8) |
| TS11/P ${ }_{1}$ | -154.9865006 | (19.9) | TS8/11 | -154.9894708 | (18.0) |

tion. Moreover, $\mathbf{1 0}$ would rather back-convert to $\mathbf{5 b}$ than form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right)$.

On the other hand, the most important pathways from 1b are those shown in Scheme 2. From Scheme 2, we can see that starting from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCHCH} \mathbf{1 b}$ (32.7), two pathways can be identified: (i) a $1,2 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2} 4$ $(-110.8)$, or (ii) a $2,3 \mathrm{H}$ shift to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCH} 5$ (5a, $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{5 d})(-96.9,-94.0,-94.2,-96.3)$. The pathways of 4 and 5 have been discussed previously.

## Reaction mechanism

In the preceding sections, we have identified eight important pathways for the $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction (paths $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ ). By comparison, we find that paths $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3}$, and $\mathbf{6}$ are relatively simple, whereas the remaining paths are more complicated. For example, only one barrier needs to be surmounted in paths $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{6}$, which are $1.3(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{2})$
kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{1}, 2.9(\mathbf{1 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{2}, 6.7$ $(\mathbf{1 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{3}$, and $11.7(\mathbf{1 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path 6, whereas two barriers must be negotiated in the other four paths, which are $8.0(\mathbf{1 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 a})$ and $38.1\left(\mathbf{5 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ or $39.4\left(\mathbf{5 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{4}, 8.0(\mathbf{1 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 a})$ and $39.4\left(\mathbf{5 c} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right)$ or $36.3\left(\mathbf{5 d} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{5}, 10.1$ $(\mathbf{1 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 b})$ and $38.1\left(\mathbf{5 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$ or $39.4\left(\mathbf{5} \mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{7}$, and $10.1(\mathbf{1 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 b})$ and $39.4\left(\mathbf{5 c} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right)$ or 36.3 $\left(\mathbf{5 d} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in path $\mathbf{8}$. Therefore, paths $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{7}$, and $\mathbf{8}$ cannot compete with paths $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{6}$. It is difficult to judge the relative contributions of paths 1, 2, 3 and $\mathbf{6}$ because the barriers associated with these four paths are very similar. Thus, we can only tentatively predict that these four channels make comparable contributions to the title reaction.

We predict that the four dissociation products $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ may be observed, as reflected in the final product distributions. $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ should be the most

Scheme 1 The most relevant pathways from 1a

favorable products, all with comparable yields, whereas $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ should be the least competitive product.

## Comparison with experiment

It is worth comparing our calculated results with previous experimental findings. In Goulay et al.'s experiment, the products and branching ratios were found be cyclic isomer $+\mathrm{H}(30 \%)$, vinylacetylene +H (37\%), and 1,2,3-butatriene $+\mathrm{H}(33 \%)$ [26]. This is in excellent agreement with our theoretical result that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ should be the most feasible products with comparable yields. Furthermore, based on our calculations, all of the isomers and transition states involved in the most feasible pathways lie below the reactant in energy, and the title reaction is expected to proceed rapidly, which is consistent with the large experimentally measured rate constants, i.e. $k=(4.03 \sim$ 4.56) $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ molecule ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (over the temperature range (15-295K)), as reported by Daugey et al. [21], and $k(300 \mathrm{~K})=(3.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ molecule $^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, a s reported by Loison et al. [25]. However, great discrepancies are found for the product distributions. Both

Daugey et al. [21] and Loison et al. [25] suggested that the product is almost exclusively $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$. However, our results show that $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, which were competely ignored by Daugey et al. and Loison et al., may contribute to the final products to the same extent as $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. In view of these discrepancies, further investigations of the title reaction, especially the distribution of products, are highly desirable.

## Conclusions

The reaction of CH with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ was theoretically studied at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and G3B3 (singlepoint) levels. Our results show that four kinds of dissociation products may be observed. Among these products, $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCCH}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ may be the most feasible products, and are produced in comparable yields, whereas $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ may be easily the least competitive product. The present paper is the first theoretical study of the title reaction. We hope that our calculated results may shed some light on the mechanism of the $\mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}$ reaction.

Scheme 2 The most relevant pathways from 1 b
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